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Introduction

- In 2001, Taylor Nelson Sofres (TNS), the global market research company, benchmarked the use of Government Online by interviewing over 29,000 adults in 28 countries.

- This study is unique in that it examines the uptake of Government Online from a citizen demand perspective. As more Government Agencies around the world make greater use of Information Communications Technology (ICT) to develop alternative channels to meet the needs of citizens, it is important to understand the extent to which citizens are changing their patterns of behaviour and embracing the new opportunities that the Internet can bring.

- TNS recognises the significant benefits that Government Online can bring to both citizens and Government Agencies, and the importance of encouraging its adoption. Since they were first published in November 2001, the results of Government Online have been extensively used by Government strategists and planners, professionally interested organisations and consultants and the media. The results have been discussed at a number of government, knowledge management and e-government conferences around the world.

- In 2002, TNS has continued to track Government Online usage. Again approximately 29,000 adults were interviewed, with 31 countries involved. This report examines the international implications.

- For more information about the Government Online study, please contact your local TNS office or email gostudy@au.tnsofres.com for a copy of your country report.
Study Objectives

- The key aim of this study is to understand the impact of the Internet on government globally and nationally.

- More specifically the study objectives are to...
  - Monitor the growth and establish new benchmarks against which to measure future growth of Government Online, globally and nationally.
  
  - Measure the ‘adoption curve’ for the utilisation of government services online, from information seeking and citizen consultation, to engaging in some sort of transaction.
  
  - Understand whether Government Online is reaching the broader community in terms of demographics.
  
  - Determine any levels of fear associated with providing government with personal information over the Internet, and the extent to which this may be a barrier to Government Online uptake.
  
  - Provide global and national benchmarks against which individual government departments can measure themselves against in terms of:
    - reach;
    - adoption;
    - demographic characteristics; and
    - concerns over safety of personal information.
Methodology

- One identical question about the use of Government services online was placed on a substantial, nationally representative survey in 31 countries between July and September 2002*. All field work was conducted by TNS offices.

- An optional second question, regarding perceptions of safety when providing personal information to Government was asked of everyone in 27 countries, and asked of Internet users in one countries.**

- Sample size…

  2002
  31 countries
  28,952 people interviewed

  2001
  27 countries
  29,077 people interviewed

- All country results have been weighted to be representative of their country population.

- This report was prepared by Wendy Mellor and Victoria Parr from TNS Australia.

*India Only Sampled Internet Users
**India
The 31 Countries Covered In 2002

North America
- United States
- Canada

Europe
- Czech Republic
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Faroe Islands
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Great Britain
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Poland
- Slovakia
- Spain
- Sweden
- Turkey

Asia Pacific
- Australia
- Hong Kong
- India
- Japan
- Malaysia
- New Zealand
- Singapore
- South Korea
- Taiwan
Report Definitions And Glossary

- **Government Online (GO)** - Assessing Government over the Internet.

- **Information Seekers** - Have used the Internet to get information from a Government website.

- **Downloaders** - Used the Internet to print off government forms that were then sent by post or fax (e.g. tax form, form to claim Government rebates).

- **Consulters** - Used the internet to express a point of view or participate in community consultations with Government.

- **Providers** - Used the Internet to provide personal/household information to Government.

- **Transactors** - Used the Internet to pay for Government services or products through the use of a credit card or bank account number (e.g. rates, driving licences, recycle bins, traffic fines).

- **Non-users** - Have not used the Internet to get or provide information or transact with Government.
What were the Key Results in 2002?
2002 Key Results And Discussion Points

Changes In Government Online Usage

- Global Government Online usage increased significantly since it was first measured in 2001. 30% of the adult population surveyed had used Government Online in the last twelve months, compared with 26% in 2001.

- There have been significant increases since 2001 in 14 countries, and only one country fell back (Japan dropping from 17% to 13%).

- Whilst the global average for Government Online usage has increased by 4%, in some countries the increase has been far greater. The major gain in Government Online usage have been in Australia (+15% to 46%), Turkey (+10% to 13%), USA (+9% to 43%), India (+9% to 31% of Internet users), Spain (+9% to 26%), France (+7% to 25%) and Germany (+7% to 24%).

- Information Seeking continues to be the major use made of Government Online (24% of adults) and this has risen significantly from 20% in 2001. Downloading (forms, etc) is the second major use made of Government Online (11%) and this application has risen since 2001(9%).

- Providers (who provide information to Government over the Internet) represent 8% of the global population (7% in 2001) whilst Transacting (providing bank account or credit card details to Government over the internet in exchange for service, product or infringement or rebate) was 7% (compared with 6% in 2001). Government Online transacting (15% of Internet users) equals the incidence of Online Product Shopping (15% Internet users).
2002 Key Results And Discussion Points

Changes In Government Online Usage

- For the first time, Consulters (who use the Internet to express a point of view) were measured, and globally this is currently a relatively low usage interaction (4%).

- Since 2001 there has been very little change to the shape of the Government Online adoption curve. It is dominated by Information Seeking (24%) which demonstrates the value of this communication channel to Government. As Government’s around the world seeks to provide more interactive services to its citizens, it is clear that these usage types have not grown (Transactors 7%, Providers 8%). This relatively slow uptake of interactive services may be because not enough services are provided yet, because the public is slow to take advantage of them, or because inappropriate services (i.e. those not meeting community needs) are being made available.

- The global average for Transacting is 7% but some countries (Sweden, Estonia, Denmark, Finland, Norway) are achieving around 20% which demonstrates that the demand for this interaction exists, if the supply/demand balance is right. A similar comment can be made of Providing.
Key Results And Discussion Points

What Are The Current Levels Of Government Online Usage?

- Of the 31 countries surveyed, Sweden has the highest level of Government Online (57% over the last twelve months), whilst New Zealand has the highest Internet usage (71% in last month).

- There is wide variation in the level of Government Online uptake across the countries measured (3% in Hungary to 57% in Sweden).

- The high Government Online user countries are: Sweden (57%), Norway (56%), Singapore (53%), Denmark (53%), Faroe Islands (52%), Finland (49%), Canada (48%), Australia (46%) and the USA (43%).

- Countries with relatively low levels of Government Online usage include: Slovak Republic (14%), Turkey (13%), Great Britain (13%), Japan (13%), Malaysia (12%), Lithuania (8%), Latvia (8%), Poland (4%) and Hungary (3%).

- Scandinavian countries dominate the top 5 countries for Information Seeking, Downloading, Consulting, Providing and Transacting.

- There is a wide range of variation amongst those who use the Internet (in the last month) and their uptake of Government Online. This proportion ranges from 82% in the Faroe Islands to 16% in Hungary.

- When analysing the relationship of Government Online to Internet connectivity, it is clear that not all GO users have used the Internet in the last month. This suggests some GO users are not regular Internet users or that GO services are being sought through other e-channels such as kiosks etc. This is most evident in countries such as Turkey, the Slovak Republic, Hungary, France and Singapore.
Key Results And Discussion Points

Interesting Demographics

- Whilst Internet penetration is strongly correlated with age (the younger you are the more likely you are to use the Internet), this is not the experience of Government Online.
- Government Online usage is strong among under 44 year olds and then it drops away.
- The biggest increases have been in the 35-44 year old grouping (rising 14% from 22% in 2001 to 36% in 2002) and among older people.
- About one in five people (18%) aged 55-64 years old have used Government Online in the last twelve months which represents an increase of 16% amongst that age group. However those aged 65+ years have yet to realise Government Online (5% 2002).
- Men are more likely to use Government Online (33% in 2002 compared with 26% women). This gender difference was noted in 2001 but has become more pronounced. It does reflect the gender skew observed for Internet usage.
Key Results And Discussion Points

Has There Been Improvement In Perceptions Of The Safety Of Government Online?

- There has been an increase in perceptions of safety when interacting with Government Online concerning personal information such as credit card, bank account number or salary size, rising from 14% safe in 2001 to 23% safe in 2002.* Rather than there being a decrease in those perceiving it to be ‘unsafe’, there are less citizens who are unsure (dropping from 22% to 14%). These are positive trends for Government, however the challenge for ICT providers and Government is to raise the perception of safety upwards, so more than 1 in 4 citizens believe this to be so.

- Countries with the highest levels of perceived safety are: Denmark (40%), Singapore (39%), Finland (35%), Hong Kong (33%), Norway (32%) and Sweden (32%). These countries demonstrate that despite their citizens having relatively high Government Online use, the levels of safety are still quite modest. Hong Kong stands out as having a relatively high level of safety (5th highest) compared with its Government Online usage (12th globally).

- Countries that have raised the level of safety include: Malaysia (from 23% to 35%), Denmark (from 31% to 40%), Norway (from 26% to 32%), Slovak Republic (from 15% to 21%), France (from 11% to 15% and Poland (from 9% to 12%).

* Three new countries Sweden, Ireland and New Zealand have higher than average ‘safe’ responses and have helped lift the global average. However increases in countries tracked in 2001 and 2002 show significant increases overall.
Key Results And Discussion Points

What countries have high safety concerns?
- Countries with the highest concerns about safety are: Japan (90%), Germany (82%), France (76%), Taiwan (75%) and Italy (72%).

Are there demographic differences driving safety perceptions?
- Men are more likely to think that Government Online is safe (27%), perhaps reflecting their higher usage of Internet and Government Online generally. Similar to the 2001 results, the younger you are, the more trusting.

Are safety issues a barrier to Government Online uptake?
- More non-users consider Government Online to be unsafe (64%) rather than safe (16%), while a further fifth (19%) had no opinion.
- Comparing the different types of Government Online users, Information Seekers globally have the greatest concern about safety (58%). Transactors and Providers have lower levels of concern (51% and 50% respectively). Citizens globally are giving clear notice to Government that high safety strategies should be in place and be adhered too.
2002 Key Results And Discussion Points

REGIONAL INSIGHTS: European

- Scandinavian countries are highly adoptive of Government Online, however there has been some leveling off in Norway and Finland in the reach of Government Online, although citizens there (and in Denmark) have increased their level of information seeking - in other words, they are using Government Online to do more things.

- Great Britain has not made much progress in reaching or interacting with citizens through Government Online, and it remains well below average. Whilst there has been tremendous investment made by all levels of Government in Great Britain, this does not appear to have been rewarded yet by citizen uptake. The citizen benefits of other delivery channels (telephone, face to face, kiosks) are currently outweighing those from the Internet.

- Ireland, which has benefited from significant EU investment, was measured for the first time and its Government Online uptake was twice that of Great Britain.

- France and Germany experienced gains of a similar magnitude in the reach of Government Online.

- Spain and the Netherlands also increased in Government Online usage, including Information Seeking.

- The Turkish Government has placed greater emphasis on electronic communication since 2001, particularly in making more information available to citizens on the Internet. The result has been substantial increases in usage (from 3% to 13%). Recent developments have allowed citizens to be able to search for and receive personal information, such as university results and ID and tax number, via the Internet.

- Among East European (Post-Communist) countries the level of Government Online usage varies from 3% in Hungary and 4% in Poland to 31% in Estonia. The adoption of Government Online is a continuing challenge for all these countries and is directly affected by government policy towards developing an “information society”. In Poland Government Online is currently not a high priority in government policy and encouragement for using Internet generally is low. A very different government policy in Estonia is reflected in the results.

- Latvia has only recently adopted an e-government project, and at the moment are at initial stage of development of GO communication. This policy will likely impact on incidence levels of GO in Latvia when next measured.
2002 Key Results And Discussion Points

REGIONAL INSIGHTS: Asia Pacific

- Singapore stands out as an Asia Pacific country where citizens have strongly embraced Government Online. The policy of the Singapore Government to transform Singapore into an intelligent island has involved initiatives including educating Singaporeans in Internet skills (National IT Literacy Programme), nationwide broadband network that delivers interactive multimedia applications and services (S-One) and a dedicated portal that allows accessibility to a range of e-Government services (www.ecitizen.gov.sg). The date of fieldwork in Singapore coincided with the dates for filing incomes and paying Income tax. Much have been done by the Government to encourage Singaporeans to file their income or pay their tax electronically (e-filing).

- Australia, with a history of being highly adoptive of new technologies, has experienced the greatest increase in Government Online usage, from information seeking to transacting. National and State Governments have made a wide range of services available over the Internet with a whole-of-Government portal.

- Hong Kong also increased its Government Online usage, with increases in information seeking and downloading.

- Malaysia has low incidence of Government Online and is currently not experiencing an upward trend in usage (despite increases in the perception of safety).

- Whilst the Indian data is based only on internet users, there has been a significant increase in uptake and specifically information seeking.

- Japan has been the only country with reduced Government Online activity. Interaction such as transacting and providing has reduced.
2002 Key Results And Discussion Points

REGIONAL INSIGHTS: Americas

- The USA benchmark in 2001 was undertaken prior to September 11. It is well documented that Government Online took on a different meaning after this date and the high increase in Government Online is likely to be enhanced by this. Information Seeking and Downloading has increased.

- Canada did not experience a significant increase in the reach of Government Online but Downloading has increased.
2002 Key Numbers

Globally…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Lowest</th>
<th>Highest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internet usage</td>
<td>Hungary 13%</td>
<td>New Zealand 71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Online usage</td>
<td>Hungary 3%</td>
<td>Sweden 57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Lowest</th>
<th>Highest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transactors</td>
<td>Hungary 0%</td>
<td>Sweden 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providers</td>
<td>Poland 0%</td>
<td>Denmark 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultors</td>
<td>South Korea 0%</td>
<td>Faroe Islands 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downloaders</td>
<td>Turkey 0%</td>
<td>Sweden 26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Seekers</td>
<td>Hungary 1%</td>
<td>Sweden 48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attitudes to GO safety…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Lowet</th>
<th>Highest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nett safe</td>
<td>Japan 6%</td>
<td>Denmark 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nett unsafe</td>
<td>Latvia 41%</td>
<td>Japan 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>Germany 1%</td>
<td>Lithuania 43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Global Changes From 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Global Average 2001</th>
<th>Global Average 2002</th>
<th>Percentage Change From 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO Usage</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>+4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transactors</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providers</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulters</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downloaders</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>+2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Seekers</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>+4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nett Safe</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>+9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nett Unsafe</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Unsure</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Is The Level Of Government Online Use In 2002?

**PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION WHO USED GOVERNMENT ONLINE SERVICES IN THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS**

% Percentage Of Total Adult Population

Global Average (30%)

* Due to sampling differences India and the Slovak Republic have not been included in the Global Nett.
## Government Online Country Changes From 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank 2002</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2002 %</th>
<th>Significant (Increase/Decrease) From</th>
<th>2001 %</th>
<th>Rank 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sweden*</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>50 (Feb'02)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Faroe Islands*</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates 2002 is the first year of participation in the study - comparable data not available.
Government Online Country Changes From 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank 2002</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2002 %</th>
<th>Significant (Increase/Decrease) From</th>
<th>2001 %</th>
<th>Rank 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>New Zealand*</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>India#</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ireland*</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates 2002 is the first year of participation in the study - comparable data not available.
# Indicates that data is from Internet users only and is not comparable.
Government Online Country Changes From 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank 2002</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2002 %</th>
<th>Significant (Increase/Decrease) From</th>
<th>2001 %</th>
<th>Rank 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Italy*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>14</td>
<td><strong>↑</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>13</td>
<td><strong>↑</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>13</td>
<td><strong>↓</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>↑</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Hungary+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates 2002 is the first year of participation in the study - comparable data not available.
+ Indicates that method of sampling has changed from 2001-comparable data not available.
Internet Users Across The World In 2002

Note: No comparable data is available for 2002 Internet usage in Sweden.

*2002 Internet use for India, Germany, Ireland, and South Korea is from the TNS 2002 GeR report.
What Is Government Online Uptake Among Recent Internet Users? 2002

PERCENTAGE OF GOVERNMENT ONLINE USERS IN LAST 12 MONTHS AMONGST INTERNET USERS IN LAST MONTH

GO Uptake amongst recent internet users - Country Average Use (61%)

Some GO users have not used the internet in the last month.
Note: No comparable data is available for 2002 Internet usage in Sweden.
*Due to sampling differences India and the Slovak Republic are not included in the Global Nett.
#2002 Internet use for Germany, Ireland, and South Korea is from the TNS 2002 GeR report - country results are indicative only.
What Is The Government Online Uptake Relationship To Internet Connectivity? 2002

Some GO users have not used the internet in the last month.
Note: No comparable data is available for 2002 Internet usage in Sweden.
*Due to sampling differences India and the Slovak Republic are not included in the Global Nett.
#2002 Internet use for Germany, Ireland, and South Korea is from the TNS 2002 GeR report - country results are indicative only.
### Who Are The Heavy And Light Government Online Users In 2002?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low Penetration (15% or less are users)</th>
<th>Medium Penetration (16% to 35% are users)</th>
<th>High Penetration (36% to 50% are users)</th>
<th>Very High Penetration (more than 50% are users)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>India#</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Faroe Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Who uses the Internet and Government Online?
Who Is Using The Internet In 2002?

- As was found in 2001, males are using the Internet more than females.
- Globally, younger people continue to have the highest incidence of Internet usage.

Note: Global Internet usage excludes data from Germany, India, Ireland, South Korea and Sweden.
Who Is Using Government Online In 2002?

- Males are using Government Online more than females are.
- Those aged under 44 years make good use of Government Online. The biggest growth since 2001 has been amongst the 34-44 and 55-64 age groupings. People aged 65+ continue to make little use of Government Online, reflecting their low Internet usage generally.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India and the Slovak Republic - where samples were not compatible.
Who Was Using Government Online In 2001?

Note: Percentages given for the different age groups exclude data from India, Hungary, Slovakia and Spain - where samples were not compatible.
How do citizens use Government Online?
What Is The Level Of Involvement With Government Online In 2002?

- There has been little change to the shape of the Government Online adoption curve since 2001.
- The major Government Online use continues to be Information Seeking. This has risen significantly since 2001.

Note: This is multiple response data.
What Was The Level Of Involvement With Government Online In 2001?

Note: This is multiple response data.
How Does Government Online Transacting Compare To Other Online Transacting?

- 15% of Internet users have made an Government Online transaction in the last twelve months. Given the increase in Internet usage, Government Online transacting has not grown proportionately.

- This compares equally with the purchase of goods over the Internet.

- Examples of Online Product Shopping are buying books, CDs, sports equipment, tickets to the cinema and theatre, and travel online.

Note: Online Product Shoppers data collected from the 2002 TNS GeR study.
Who Are The Government Online Transactors In 2002?

Transactors can come from any gender but are more likely to be aged 25-44 years.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India and the Slovak Republic - where samples were not compatible.
Who Are The Government Online Providers In 2002?

- Providers are more likely to be under 44 years of age.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India and the Slovak Republic - where samples were not compatible.
Who Are The Government Online Consulters In 2002?

Consulters are a relatively small user of Government Online and are spread across genders and age groups.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India and the Slovak Republic - where samples were not compatible.
Who Are The Government Online Downloaders In 2002?

- Downloaders are more likely to be aged 25-44 years.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India and the Slovak Republic - where samples were not compatible.
Who Are The Government Online Information Seekers In 2002?

- Information Seekers are more likely to be male and aged under 44 years.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India and the Slovak Republic - where samples were not compatible.
Who Are The Non Users Of Government Online In 2002?

- Non GO Users are more likely to be 55 years or more.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India and the Slovak Republic - where samples were not compatible.
Which Countries Do The Most Interacting With Government Online In 2002?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Information Seeking</th>
<th>Downloading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faroe Islands</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which Countries Do The Most Interacting With Government Online In 2002?

**Consulting**
- Faroe Islands: 16%
- USA: 10%
- Norway: 9%
- Canada: 7%
- Finland: 7%

**Providing**
- Denmark: 25%
- Singapore: 23%
- Norway: 22%
- Sweden: 21%
- Canada: 17%

**Transacting**
- Sweden: 22%
- Estonia: 21%
- Denmark: 20%
- Finland: 20%
- Norway: 18%

Percentage of all adults using Government Online activities.
Do citizens see Government Online as SAFE to use?
What Is The Perception Of Government Online Safety In 2002?

**Q** How safe would you feel about using the Internet to provide the Government with this sort of personal information?

**GLOBAL NETT SAFE AND SPECIFICS, NETT UNSAFE AND SPECIFICS**

Despite an increase in the perception of safety, there remains substantial levels of concern among the total adult population when providing personal information to Government over the Internet.

Note: Global nett usage excludes data from India, South Korea, USA and Spain.
What Is The Perception Of Government Online Safety In 2002?

*Data from India is based on Internet users only.
Have Citizens Of Each Country Changed Their Perception Of Safety?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank 2002</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2002 %</th>
<th>Significant (Increase/Decrease) From</th>
<th>2001 %</th>
<th>Rank 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>40</td>
<td><strong>↑</strong></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>44 (Feb '02)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td><strong>↑</strong></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>32</td>
<td><strong>↑</strong></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sweden*</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ireland*</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>New Zealand*</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates 2002 is the first year of participation in the study - comparable data not available.
### Have Citizens Of Each Country Changed Their Perception Of Safety?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank 2002</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2002 %</th>
<th>Significant (Increase/Decrease) From</th>
<th>2001 %</th>
<th>Rank 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Great Britain#</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>🔺</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Faroe Islands*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates 2002 is the first year of participation in the study - comparable data not available.

# Indicates sampling difference from 2001 - data is not comparable.
Have Citizens Of Each Country Changed Their Perception Of Safety?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank 2002</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2002 %</th>
<th>Significant (Increase/Decrease) From</th>
<th>2001 %</th>
<th>Rank 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Italy*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Hungary#</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>★️</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>India+</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates 2002 is the first year of participation in the study - comparable data not available.
# Indicates sampling difference from 2001 - data is not comparable.
+ Indicates data from Internet users only.
In 2002 Which Countries Consider Government Online To Be Most…?

Base: Total Adult Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>% Safe</th>
<th>% Unsafe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>% Unsafe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Who Thinks Government Online Is Safe In 2002?

- Males and those under 34 years are more likely to think Government Online is safe.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India, South Korea, USA and Spain.
Who Thinks Government Online Is Unsafe In 2002?

- Safety concerns are highest amongst women and those 45-64 years.

Note: Global demographic profile excludes data from India, South Korea, USA and Spain.
Is Safety A Barrier To Adoption In 2002?

Base: Total Adult Population

Note: Excludes data from India, South Korea, USA and Spain.
Appendices
The Questionnaire

Now I’d like to talk to you about Government information and services. By Government I mean local, State and Federal (tailor to country)...

■ In the last twelve months, have you yourself used the Internet to...
  – Get information from a Government website?
  – Used the Internet to print off Government forms (e.g. tax form, form to claim a government rebate) which you have then sent by post or fax?
  – Used the Internet to provide personal/household information to Government (e.g. complete and lodge a tax form, apply for a service, change your address)?
  – Used the Internet to pay for Government services or products (e.g. rates, driving license, recycle bins, traffic fine) involving the use of a credit card or your bank account number?
  – Used the internet to express a point of view or participate in community consultations with Government?
  – None of these?

Now I’d like you to think of a situation where you were asked to provide some personal information (for example, your credit card number, your bank account number, the size of your salary) to a Government department or agency...

■ How safe would you feel about using the internet to provide the Government with this sort of personal information?
  – Very safe, safe, unsafe, very unsafe, don’t know?

Have you personally used the Internet in the past month? This could be from any access point and could be either for personal or professional reasons, at work or at home, browsing the web or sending or receiving e-mail.

  – Yes, No, Don’t Know/Refused/No Response.
The 27 Countries Covered In 2001

- Asia Pacific
  - Australia
  - Hong Kong
  - India
  - Indonesia
  - Japan
  - Malaysia
  - South Korea
  - Taiwan

- North America
  - United States
  - Canada

- Europe
  - Czech Republic
  - Denmark
  - Estonia
  - Finland
  - France
  - Germany
  - Great Britain
  - Hungary
  - Latvia
  - Lithuania
  - Netherlands
  - Norway
  - Poland
  - Russian Federation
  - Slovakia
  - Spain
  - Turkey